# Law, Science and Technology MSCA ITN EJD n. 814177



**Mirko Zichichi<sup>1,2</sup>**, Luca Serena<sup>2</sup>, Stefano Ferretti<sup>3</sup>, Gabriele D'Angelo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Politécnica de Madrid <sup>2</sup>University of Bologna <sup>3</sup>University of Urbino "Carlo Bo" Towards Decentralized Complex Queries over Distributed Ledgers: a Data Marketplace Use-case

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Use Case
- 3. Hypercube DHT
- 4. Performance Evaluation
- 5. Conclusion

# Introduction

DLT and DFS are being increasingly used to create **common, decentralized and trustless infrastructures** where participants interact and collaborate in Peer-to-Peer interactions. They enable:

- DLT and DFS are being increasingly used to create **common, decentralized and trustless infrastructures** where participants interact and collaborate in Peer-to-Peer interactions. They enable:
  - secure transactions between **untrusted parties** through consensus mechanisms

- DLT and DFS are being increasingly used to create **common, decentralized and trustless infrastructures** where participants interact and collaborate in Peer-to-Peer interactions. They enable:
  - secure transactions between **untrusted parties** through consensus mechanisms
  - high data **availability**

DLT and DFS are being increasingly used to create **common, decentralized and trustless infrastructures** where participants interact and collaborate in Peer-to-Peer interactions. They enable:

- secure transactions between **untrusted parties** through consensus mechanisms
- high data **availability**
- ability to automate and enforce processes (through smart contracts)

#### **Query Issues**

# 1) data stored in DLTs and DFS are usually **unstructured** and need to be **filtered and indexed** before any **complex query**

#### **Query Issues**

# data stored in DLTs and DFS are usually unstructured and need to be filtered and indexed before any complex query there are no diffused efficient mechanisms to query a certain type of data, that do not involve centralization (e.g. index data in a central database)

#### Our work

 $\cdot$  System for the search of data in DLTs and DFS according to their content or meaning

#### Our work

- System for the search of data in DLTs and DFS according to their content or meaning
- Distributed Hash Table (DHT) as a layer placed over DLTs: DHT  $\rightarrow$  distributed data structure that maps "keys" into "values".

#### Our work

- System for the search of data in DLTs and DFS according to their content or meaning
- Distributed Hash Table (DHT) as a layer placed over DLTs: DHT → distributed data structure that maps "keys" into "values".
- Hypercube to organise the topological structure of such a DHT network.

Use Case



# Decentralized Data Marketplace Use Case



 DFS → used to store data in an encrypted form, offering high availability

Decentralized Data Marketplace IOTA MAM



Decentralized Data Marketplace IOTA MAM



- DFS → used to store data in an encrypted form, offering high availability
- A decentralized access control
  system → to get the data from the DFS once they have been authorized
- Smart contracts have the ability to provide a distributed authorization mechanism following a policy



- DFS → used to store data in an encrypted form, offering high availability
- A decentralized access control
  system → to get the data from the DFS once they have been authorized
- Smart contracts have the ability to provide a distributed authorization mechanism following a policy
- A **DLT** such as **IOTA** enable the data indexing and validation (in form of hash pointers)

# IOTA Masked Authentication Messaging Channels

-  $\ensuremath{\text{IOTA}} \rightarrow$  network of nodes that holds a distributed ledger where transactions are validated without fees



# IOTA Masked Authentication Messaging Channels

- $\ensuremath{\text{IOTA}} \rightarrow$  network of nodes that holds a distributed ledger where transactions are validated without fees
- Masked Authenticated Messaging (MAM)  $\rightarrow$  communication protocol that adds the functionality to emit and access an encrypted data channels over IOTA



Decentralized Data Marketplace IOTA MAM

#### MAM Channels and Data Retrieval

• To obtain information from a message within a MAM channel, it is necessary to know the exact address of the message or of the channel, i.e. the **root value** 

#### MAM Channels and Data Retrieval

- To obtain information from a message within a MAM channel, it is necessary to know the exact address of the message or of the channel, i.e. the **root value**
- QEZXKW9HOPYNUGPNLOBXKZJEI9UJTNTACFVFNLYLX

#### MAM Channels and Data Retrieval

- To obtain information from a message within a MAM channel, it is necessary to know the exact address of the message or of the channel, i.e. the **root value**
- QEZXKW9HOPYNUGPNLOBXKZJEI9UJTNTACFVFNLYLX
- this root, and in general DLT addresses, **do not provide any information** related to the type and kind of data

#### MAM Channels and Data Retrieval

- To obtain information from a message within a MAM channel, it is necessary to know the exact address of the message or of the channel, i.e. the **root value**
- QEZXKW9HOPYNUGPNLOBXKZJEI9UJTNTACFVFNLYLX
- this root, and in general DLT addresses, **do not provide any information** related to the type and kind of data
- in our system every single message is indexed by a keyword set, that is then exploited to search for specific kinds of contents ⇒

Decentralized Data Marketplace IOTA MAM



Hypercube DHT

Layer Two Hypercube Keywords Search

#### Layer Two Lookup Scheme

• DLT P2P Network



Layer Two Hypercube Keywords Search

#### Layer Two Lookup Scheme

- DLT P2P Network
- Data are stored in a DFS and/or referenced in a IOTA MAM Channels.



## Layer Two Lookup Scheme

- DLT P2P Network
- Data are stored in a DFS and/or referenced in a IOTA MAM Channels.
- $\cdot$  Layer two solution  $\rightarrow$  MAM messages associated to a keyword set in a DHT



 $\cdot ~\mathbf{0} \leftarrow \mathsf{set} ~\mathsf{of} ~\mathsf{all}$  MAM messages in IOTA

- $\cdot ~ \mathbf{O} \leftarrow \mathsf{set} ~ \mathsf{of} ~ \mathsf{all} ~ \mathsf{MAM} ~ \mathsf{messages} ~ \mathsf{in} ~ \mathsf{IOTA}$
- DHT for mapping  $\mathbf{o} \in O$  to a keyword set  $K_o \subseteq W$  (*W* is the keyword space)

- $\cdot ~ \mathbf{0} \leftarrow \mathsf{set} ~ \mathsf{of} ~ \mathsf{all} ~ \mathsf{MAM} ~ \mathsf{messages} ~ \mathsf{in} ~ \mathsf{IOTA}$
- DHT for mapping  $o \in O$  to a keyword set  $K_o \subseteq W$  (*W* is the keyword space)
- By using a **uniform hash function** 
  - $h: W \to \{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}$

K can be represented by a string of bits  $u \rightarrow 101001$ 

- $\cdot ~ \mathbf{O} \leftarrow \mathsf{set} ~ \mathsf{of} ~ \mathsf{all} ~ \mathsf{MAM} ~ \mathsf{messages} ~ \mathsf{in} ~ \mathsf{IOTA}$
- DHT for mapping  $o \in O$  to a keyword set  $K_o \subseteq W$  (*W* is the keyword space)
- By using a **uniform hash function** 
  - $h: W \to \{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}$

K can be represented by a string of bits  $u \rightarrow 101001$ 

• in **u** the 1s are set in the positions given by  $one(u) = \{h(k) \mid k \in K\}$ 

- $\cdot ~ \mathbf{O} \leftarrow \mathsf{set} ~ \mathsf{of} ~ \mathsf{all} ~ \mathsf{MAM} ~ \mathsf{messages} ~ \mathsf{in} ~ \mathsf{IOTA}$
- DHT for mapping  $o \in O$  to a keyword set  $K_o \subseteq W$  (*W* is the keyword space)
- By using a **uniform hash function** 
  - $h: W \to \{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}$

K can be represented by a string of bits  $u \rightarrow 101001$ 

- in **u** the 1s are set in the positions given by  $one(u) = \{h(k) \mid k \in K\}$
- E.g.: o = MAM msg indexed by QEZ...OBX root, K = {temperature, celsius} h(temperature) = 3, h(celsius) = 5
   K is represented by u = 000101 ⇒ DHT stores (000101,QEZ...OBX)

• We use these *r*-bit strings to identify logical nodes in a **DHT network** 

- We use these *r*-bit strings to identify logical nodes in a **DHT network**
- network topology  $\rightarrow$   $H_r(V, E)$  *r*-dimensional hypercube

- We use these *r*-bit strings to identify logical nodes in a **DHT network**
- network topology  $\rightarrow H_r(V, E)$  r-dimensional hypercube
- V set of vertices that represent logical nodes

- We use these *r*-bit strings to identify logical nodes in a **DHT network**
- network topology  $\rightarrow H_r(V, E)$  r-dimensional hypercube
- V set of vertices that represent logical nodes
- **E** set of edges formed when two vertices differ of only one bit (they are also network **neighbors**), e.g. 1011 and 1010.

- We use these *r*-bit strings to identify logical nodes in a **DHT network**
- network topology  $\rightarrow H_r(V, E)$  *r*-dimensional hypercube
- V set of vertices that represent logical nodes
- **E** set of edges formed when two vertices differ of only one bit (they are also network **neighbors**), e.g. 1011 and 1010.
- $\cdot$  to find out how far apart two vertices *u* and *v* are
  - $\rightarrow$  HammingDistance $(u, v) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (u_i \oplus v_i),$

 $\oplus$  is the XOR operation and  $u_i$  is the bit at the *i*-th position.

#### Multiple Keywords Search

• Pin Search -  $\{o \in O \mid K_o = K\}$ 

gets all and only the objects associated with a keyword set *K* e.g. *pinSearch({temperature, celsius})* = (000**1**01,QEZ...OBX), (000101,IHU...9HZ), ...

## Multiple Keywords Search

• Pin Search -  $\{o \in O \mid K_o = K\}$ 

gets all and only the objects associated with a keyword set *K* e.g. *pinSearch({temperature, celsius})* = (000**1**0**1**,*QEZ...OBX*), (000**1**0**1**,*IHU...9HZ*), ...

• Superset Search -  $\{o \in O \mid K_o \supseteq K\}$ also gets objects that can be described by keywords sets that include K e.g. superSetSearch({temperature, celsius}) = (000101,QEZ...OBX), (000111,XTL...A9Z), ...

# Performance Evaluation

• Simulated DHT network (using PeerSim)

- Simulated DHT network (using PeerSim)
- Nodes number  $\rightarrow$  from 128 (r = 7) up to 8192 (r = 13)

- Simulated DHT network (using PeerSim)
- Nodes number  $\rightarrow$  from 128 (r = 7) up to 8192 (r = 13)
- Randomly created keywords-objects (i.e. MAM message roots)  $\rightarrow$  objects number 100, 1000 and 10000

- Simulated DHT network (using PeerSim)
- Nodes number  $\rightarrow$  from 128 (r = 7) up to 8192 (r = 13)
- Randomly created keywords-objects (i.e. MAM message roots)  $\rightarrow$  objects number 100, 1000 and 10000
- We evaluated the **number of hops** required for each new query

- Simulated DHT network (using PeerSim)
- Nodes number  $\rightarrow$  from 128 (r = 7) up to 8192 (r = 13)
- Randomly created keywords-objects (i.e. MAM message roots)  $\rightarrow$  objects number 100, 1000 and 10000
- We evaluated the **number of hops** required for each new query
- + For each type of test ightarrow 50 repetitions

## **Pin Search Results**



• Average number of hops increases

#### **Pin Search Results**



- Average number of hops increases
- from about 3.5 for 128 nodes (r = 7)

#### **Pin Search Results**



- Average number of hops increases
- from about 3.5 for 128 nodes (r = 7)
- to about 6.72 for 8192 nodes (r = 13)

## **Pin Search Results**



- Average number of hops increases
- from about 3.5 for 128 nodes (r = 7)
- to about 6.72 for 8192 nodes (r = 13)
- order of the logarithm of the hypercube logical nodes number  $\rightarrow \frac{\log(n)}{2} = \frac{r}{2}$

#### Superset Search Results



 apparently anomalous values stand out

#### Superset Search Results



- apparently anomalous values stand out
- Superset traverse the network until it finds the number of objects indicated by the limit, i.e. *l* = 10

### Superset Search Results



- apparently anomalous values stand out
- Superset traverse the network until it finds the number of objects indicated by the limit, i.e. l = 10
- with many nodes and few objects → the query might take longer to reach that limit, because many nodes are "empty"

### Superset Search Results



- apparently anomalous values stand out
- Superset traverse the network until it finds the number of objects indicated by the limit, i.e. l = 10
- with many nodes and few objects → the query might take longer to reach that limit, because many nodes are "empty"
- order of  $\frac{\log(n)}{2} + l$ , where *l* can be set as limit of the nodes number

• Decentralized data markets  $\rightarrow$  showing a DLT layer two solution  $\rightarrow$  facilitating the retrieval of large amounts of data using keywords.

- Decentralized data markets  $\rightarrow$  showing a DLT layer two solution  $\rightarrow$  facilitating the retrieval of large amounts of data using keywords.
- IOTA MAM channels (however, can be easily extended to other DLTs and DFSs).

- Decentralized data markets  $\rightarrow$  showing a DLT layer two solution  $\rightarrow$  facilitating the retrieval of large amounts of data using keywords.
- IOTA MAM channels (however, can be easily extended to other DLTs and DFSs).
- The DHT network structured as a hypercube  $\rightarrow$  efficient routing mechanism based on keyword sets.

- Decentralized data markets  $\rightarrow$  showing a DLT layer two solution  $\rightarrow$  facilitating the retrieval of large amounts of data using keywords.
- IOTA MAM channels (however, can be easily extended to other DLTs and DFSs).
- The DHT network structured as a hypercube  $\rightarrow$  efficient routing mechanism based on keyword sets.
- Efficient trade-off between memory space and response time